



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 17th March, 2016

Agenda Item 11

SUBJECT:

Corporate Safeguarding Policy, Delivery Plan & Risk Register.

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, E.
Hanagan, G. Hopkins & J. Rosser.

**Apologies for Absence
County Borough Councillors:**

K. Montague & M Webber.

**Other County Borough Councillor(s)
in Attendance:-**

S Pickering & P Wasley.

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To approve and adopt the Corporate Safeguarding Policy as the Council policy
2. To endorse the delivery plan which sets out the actions and key milestones required to embed the necessary arrangements across the Council.
3. That the policy is published on the Council's website and that the report be presented to the Corporate Parenting Board at its meeting on the 11th April, 2016.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to implement a policy, procedure and delivery plan that helps to ensure the Council has robust Corporate Safeguarding arrangements in place.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- N/A

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

- N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **25th March 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

(N.B This decision is capable of implementation from the 25th March, 2016 although officers will note that the next working date is the 29th March)

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

17.03.16
(Dated)