

# RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

## RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 23<sup>rd</sup> June, 2016

Agenda Item 9

SUBJECT:

Maesyffynnon Residential Care Home

# **Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, E Hanagan, G. Hopkins, M Norris & M Webber.

Apologies for Absence County Borough Councillor:

J. Rosser

Other County Borough Councillors in Attendance S Jones & T Williams

#### 1. DECISION MADE:

## Agreed -

- 1. To note the outcome of the consultation, any potential impact on equalities issues and other matters as outlined within the report and subsequent appendices, in respect of the preferred option relating to the future of, and provision of services at Maesyffynnon Residential Care Home.
- 2. To proceed with implementation of the preferred option outlined within the report, namely to permanently close the Home and develop alternative extra care housing provision on the current site.
- 3. That officers open dialogue with potential Housing Provider partners to implement the preferred option and report any matters to Cabinet and Council in respect of funding requirements linked to the Capital Programme as appropriate.

#### 2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

Following consideration of the Consultation outcomes, Equality Impact
Assessment and Options Appraisal the need for a decision to be taken on the
long term future of Maesyffynnon Residential Care Home and proposed service
provision.

#### 3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- As outlined within section 6 of the report a public consultation on the future of the Maesyffynnon Residential Care Home commenced on the 11<sup>th</sup> April – 23<sup>rd</sup> May, 2016.
- Cabinet Committee 6<sup>th</sup> October, 2015 & 11<sup>th</sup> February 2016.

#### 4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

## 5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):-

None

6. (a)	6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL- IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:			
	YES	NO $\sqrt{}$		
<b>Note:</b> This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. <b>1</b> <sup>st</sup> <b>July 2016</b> to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.				
6. (b)	IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPL DECISION IS URGENT:	INION OF THE DECI	SION-MAKER THE	
N/A				
6. (c)	c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:			
	N/A			
	(Mayor)		(Dated)	

23.06.16

(Dated)

(Proper Officer)