



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 19th July, 2016

Agenda Item : 4

SUBJECT: Heritage Lottery Fund Opportunities

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
& G. Hopkins.

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

E Hanagan, M Norris, J Rosser & M Webber

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

C Davies, T Leyshon & J Ward

1. DECISION MADE:

The Chairman announced that as the Cabinet were inquorate due to Members declaring personal and prejudicial interests and leaving the meeting when the item was to be discussed and voted upon, the item would be deferred. The Chairman added that if the applications contained within the report were time critical then the decision would be taken forward through an urgent Cabinet Member decision.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- N/A

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

- N/A

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- N/A

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

- N/A

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- *County Borough Councillor R Bevan declared the following personal and prejudicial interest:- “I am a member of the Ynysanghard War Memorial Cabinet Committee, and as a trustee I cannot take part in any meeting of the Cabinet or take part in any decision which may affect the park, as outlined in the Leaders Scheme of Delegation.”*
- *County Borough Councillor A Crimmings declared the following personal and prejudicial interest:- “I am a member of the Ynysanghard War Memorial Cabinet Committee, and as a trustee I cannot take part in any meeting of the Cabinet or take part in any decision which may affect the park, as outlined in the Leaders Scheme of Delegation.”*

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

N/A

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

I. COUNCIL/SCRUTINY FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-

Reason:.....N/A.....

II. URGENT DECISION:-

Reason:.....N/A.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

19th July, 2016
(Dated)