



**RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 19<sup>th</sup> July, 2016**

***Agenda Item : 7***

**SUBJECT: Standing Advisory Council on Religious Education (SACRE)**

**Cabinet Members Present  
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,  
& G. Hopkins.

**Apology for Absence  
County Borough Councillor:**

E Hanagan, M Norris, J Rosser & M Webber

**Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-**

C Davies, T Leyshon & J Ward

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

The Chairman announced that as the Cabinet were inquorate due to Members declaring personal and prejudicial interests and leaving the meeting when the item was to be discussed and voted upon, the item would be deferred. The Chairman added that if a decision on this item needed to be taken forward urgently then this would be considered through an urgent Cabinet Member decision.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

- N/A

**3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.**

- N/A

**4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

- N/A

**5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL**

- None

**6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

- *County Borough Councillor R Bevan declared the following personal and prejudicial interest in respect of the agenda item “My Grandchildren attend faith Schools who are affected by the SACRE structure”*

**7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

N/A

**8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

N/A

**8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:**

I. COUNCIL/SCRUTINY FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-

Reason:.....N/A.....

II. URGENT DECISION:-

Reason:.....N/A.....

**8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

19<sup>th</sup> July, 2016  
(Dated)