



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 24th November, 2016

Agenda Item : 6

**SUBJECT: PROVISION OF DOG KENNELING ARRANGEMENTS FOR
RCT – PROGRESS UPDATE**

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
G. Hopkins, M Norris, E Hanagan, M Webber,
M.Forey, J.Rosser

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To consider the progress made by Hope Rescue Animal Charity in securing a kennelling facility in Rhondda Cynon Taf and consider the proposal they have submitted to provide enhanced kennelling facilities for stray dogs in the County Borough
2. To recognise the improved arrangements, including 24 hours/365 day service provided to receive stray dogs, which can all be achieved within current resources.
3. The deployment of the Animal Wardens to front line service delivery to provide more enhanced dog warden services across the County
4. To authorise the Service Director, Public Health and Protection to commission Hope Rescue Animal Charity to provide the kennelling facility for Rhondda Cynon Taf.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to update Members on the progress made with Hope Rescue Animal Charity in respect of the provision of dog kennelling within the County Borough and to seek a decision in relation to the future provision of dog kennelling arrangements for Rhondda Cynon Taf.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

- The Council's Corporate Plan – Place & Living within our means

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- As outlined in section 4 of the report, a public consultation on the future kennelling facilities for Rhondda Cynon Taf took place from the 6th June to the 4th July 2016

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

- Cabinet – 19th July, 2016.

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):
N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **2nd December, 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'A. S. Jeyaraj', written in a cursive style.

.....
(Proper Officer)

24th November, 2016
(Dated)