



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 15th December 2016

Agenda Item : 4

SUBJECT: SCRUTINY RECOMMENDATIONS

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
G. Hopkins, M Norris, E Hanagan, M Webber, J.Rosser

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

C. Leyshon

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To note the review provided by the Council's Children & Young People Scrutiny Committee provided in Appendix A of the report.
2. The recommendations contained within the report, subject to further consideration by the Cabinet Portfolio holders for the area, following which a detailed response is provided to the relevant Scrutiny Committees.

N.B – *With the agreement of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor C Leyshon spoke on this item.*

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to provide Cabinet Members with the conclusions and recommendations of the Children & Young People Scrutiny Working Group which conducted a review in respect of the effectiveness of the Governing Bodies across Rhondda Cynon Taf.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

- The Council's Corporate Plan - A Top Education for All.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- As outlined within Appendix A of the report, consultation was conducted through the reviews carried out by the Children & Young People Scrutiny Committee.

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

- Children & Young People Scrutiny Committee – 29th September, 2016

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- *None*

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **23rd December, 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

15th December, 2016
(Dated)