

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 9th March, 2017

Agenda Item: 13

SUBJECT: COUNCIL PERFORMANCE REPORT – 31ST DECEMBER 2016 (QUARTER 3)

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan(Chairman) M Webber, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, G. Hopkins, E Hanagan, J.Rosser

Apology for Absence County Borough Councillor:

M Norris

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

P.Jarman, C.Leyshon R.Turner, J.Ward, J.Watts

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed -

Revenue

- 1. To note the General Fund revenue position of the Council as at the 31st December (Section 2 of the Executive Summary).
- 2. The virements listed in Sections 2a d of the Executive Summary that exceed the £0.100M threshold as per the Council's Financial Procedure Rules.

<u>Capital</u>

- 3. To note the updated capital budget for the financial year 2016/17 and changes in the total cost of projects over the 3-year programme (Sections 3a f of the Executive Summary).
- 4. To note the details of the Treasury Management Prudential Indicators as at the 31st December 2016 (Section 3g of the Executive Summary).

Corporate Plan Priorities

5. To note the current position regarding progress made against the agreed Corporate Plan priorities and confirm whether they are satisfied with the progress being made (Sections 5 a – d of the Executive Summary).

General

6. To consider whether they wish to receive further information or explanations from service managers, where Members have any serious concerns about performance or progress.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

The need to provide Members with an overview of the Council's performance, both from a financial and operational perspective, based on the first nine months of this financial year (to the 31st December 2016).

3.	LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT. The Council's Corporate Plan and / Single Integrated Plan and aims to demonstrate the extent of progress Council services are making toward the delivery of these priorities.
4.	CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE: None
<i>5.</i>	PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL None
6.	PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED: None
7.	DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE): N/A
8. (a)	IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: YES NO √
8. (b)	IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:
I.	COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
	Reason:. The report will be presented at the next meeting of the Finance and Performance Scrutiny Committee

` HEAD OF PAID SER PROPOSED DECISION FOR IT BEING TREATE	SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEVICE CONFIRMING AGREED IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE DEVICE AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RU	MENT THAT THE CIRCUMSTANCES , IN ACCORDANCE
	N/A	
	(Mayor)	(Dated)
Josephin Stephins		

(Proper Officer)

9th March 2017 (Dated)