

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 9th March, 2017

Agenda Item: 15

SUBJECT: HIGHWAYS, TRANSPORTATION AND STRATEGIC PROJECTS
- SUPPLEMENTARY CAPITAL PROGRAMME

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan(Chairman) M Webber, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, G. Hopkins, E Hanagan, J.Rosser

Apology for Absence County Borough Councillor:

M Norris

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

P.Jarman, C.Leyshon R.Turner, J.Ward, J.Watts

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed -

1. To note and approve the Supplementary Capital Programme for Highways, Transportation and Strategic Projects as detailed within this report.

N.B: With the agreement of the Chairman, County Borough Councillors P Jarman, R Turner, J Watts, J Ward and two members of the public spoke on this item.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

Further to the approval of the Council's Three Year Capital Programme 2017/18 - 2019/20 on 1st of March 2017 (Council) and the approval of the Council Corporate Plan – Investment Priorities on 30th November 2016 (Council), there is a need to set out the detailed capital programme for Highways, Transportation and Strategic Projects for 2017/18.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

This investment programme supports the Council's Corporate Plan Priority 'Place – creating neighbourhoods where people are proud to live and work'.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

None

- 5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL
 - None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

 County Borough Councillors E Hanagan, G Hopkins and A Morgan declared personal interests in this item due to potential development projects being identified in their wards.

7.	DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):
	N/A
8. (a)	IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:
	YES √ NO
expiry be the	This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. 17th March, 2017 to enable it to subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny dure Rules.
8. (b)	IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:
I. II.	COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):- Reason:
8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:	
	N/A (Mayor) (Dated)
The state of the s	