

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 9th March, 2017

Agenda Item: 4

SUBJECT: CABINET WORK PROGRAMME

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan(Chairman) M Webber, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, G. Hopkins, E Hanagan, J.Rosser

Apology for Absence County Borough Councillor:

M Norris

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

P.Jarman, C.Leyshon R.Turner, J.Ward, J.Watts

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed -

1. To approve the updated Work Programme for the 2016-17 Municipal Year (with appropriate amendment where necessary) and receive a further update on a 3 monthly basis.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

The need to present, for Cabinet Members' comment and approval, an update on the Cabinet Work Programme on the proposed list of matters requiring consideration by Cabinet over the 2016-17 Municipal Year. The Work Programme will guide and direct the activities of other arms of the Council, as well as the Cabinet itself.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

The Cabinet work programme encompasses all of the Council priorities as it indicates reports coming forward across the Directorates which may impact upon the Council's corporate priorities and others.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

As outlined in section 5 of the report, the work programme has been compiled by members of the Senior Leadership Team in discussion with the relevant portfolio holder(s) and has been consulted upon with the relevant scrutiny committees in respect of pre-scrutiny.

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

| 7. | DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE): |
|--|--|
| | N/A |
| 8. (a) | IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: |
| | YES √ NO |
| expiry be the | This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. 17th March, 2017 to enable it to subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny dure Rules. |
| 8. (b) | IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE: |
| I. II. | COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):- Reason: |
| 8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2: | |
| | N/A (Mayor) (Dated) |
| The state of the s | |