



**RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 9<sup>th</sup> March, 2017**

***Agenda Item : 6***

**SUBJECT: EVALUATION OF THE LIDO PONTY RESTORATION PROJECT**

**Cabinet Members Present  
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan(Chairman) M Webber, R.Bevan,  
A.Crimmings, M.Forey, G. Hopkins,  
E Hanagan, J.Rosser

**Apology for Absence  
County Borough Councillor:**

M Norris

**Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-**

P.Jarman, C.Leyshon  
R.Turner, J.Ward, J.Watts

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

1. To endorse the analysis, key findings and conclusions of the evaluation of the Lido Ponty Restoration Project carried out by Wavehill Limited.
2. To instruct relevant officers to consider how the key findings and conclusions of the report can support the future successful operation of Lido Ponty.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

The need to provide information on the key findings and conclusions of the external evaluation report which has been carried out on the delivery of the Lido Ponty restoration project, and to use these to contribute to the Lido's continuing success..

**3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.**

The operation of Lido Ponty contributes to the Building a Strong Economy priority within the Corporate Plan and the goal of A Prosperous Wales within the Well Being of Future Generations Act.

**4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

All relevant service areas have been consulted including Leisure, Parks and Countryside, Finance, Legal and Procurement.

**5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL**

- None

**6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

- None

**7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

N/A

**8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES  NO

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **17<sup>th</sup> March, 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:**

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

**8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

**9<sup>th</sup> March 2017**  
(Dated)