

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 18th July 2017

Agenda Item: 11

SUBJECT: 2017 CHILDCARE SUFFICIENCY ASSESSMENT

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

M.Webber(Chair), A.Morgan, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, G.Hopkins, M.Norris, R.Lewis, C.Leyshon, J.Rosser

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

S.Bradwick, J.Bonetto

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed -

- 1. To note the contents of this report
- 2. The 2017 CSA report as attached at Appendix 1 to the report

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

The need to provide Cabinet Members with the draft 2017 Childcare Sufficiency Assessment (the '2017 CSA report') for Rhondda Cynon Taf for consideration.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

The report supports the Council's plan in supporting people to access childcare provision so that they are able to enter employment, training or volunteer opportunities.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

For this CSA report, an online survey was undertaken with parents between 7th October and 11th November 2016. 425 online surveys were completed, along with 109 surveys completed on handheld tablets at community events.

The views of other stakeholders were also sought, such as neighbouring Local Authorities, Childcare Umbrella Organisations, Family Information Service, Early Years & Family Support Services, Planning, Job Centre Plus, Schools and children

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

7.	DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE): N/A
8. (a)	IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:
	YES √ NO
expiry the su	This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. 26th July, 2017 to enable it to be bject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny lure Rules.
8. (b)	IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:
I. II.	COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):- Reason:
8. (c)	IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:
	N/A
	(Mayor) (Dated)
	N 4