



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 19th September 2017

Agenda Item: 9

SUBJECT: TOWN CENTRE MAINTENANCE GRANT

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), M. Webber, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
G.Hopkins, M.Norris, R.Lewis & C.Leyshon.

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

J.Rosser

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

G Davies, P Jarman, E Stephens, E Webster, M Weaver &
J Williams.

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To approve the proposals for the Town Centre Maintenance Grant and to commence implementation of the pilot scheme, as outlined within the report.
2. That a review is undertaken at the end of the pilot scheme to inform any future delivery.

N.B With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor P Jarman spoke on this item.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

The need to provide Cabinet with details of a proposed Town Centre Maintenance Grant to be piloted for one year starting in the Mountain Ash and Tonypany Retail Areas. It is intended that the scheme will contribute to a positive impact on the street scene, creating a more attractive and vibrant town centre environment.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

The Town Centre Maintenance Grant contributes to the Building a Strong Economy priority within the Corporate Plan.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

No public consultation has been undertaken although the report has been agreed with Corporate Finance.

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

7. **DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**
N/A

8. (a) **IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **27th September, 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) **IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:**

- I. **COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-**
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. **URGENT DECISION:-**
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) **IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

19th September, 2017
(Dated)