



**RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 21st September 2017**

***Agenda Item: 8***

**SUBJECT: REVIEW OF SECONDARY CARE SUBSTANCE MISUSE  
SERVICES IN CWM TAF**

**Cabinet Members Present  
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), M. Webber, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,  
G.Hopkins, J.Rosser, R.Lewis & C.Leyshon.

**Apology for Absence  
County Borough Councillor:**

M.Norris

**Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-**

J.Davies, L.Hooper, J.James, E.Webster

**1. DECISION MADE:**

Agreed –

1. To note the information contained within this report.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

The need to inform Cabinet Members of the outcome of the review following the Public Services Boards approval of the new Cwm Taf substance misuse service model.

**3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.**

The new substance delivery model contributes significantly to:

- Achieving a healthy, well educated, safe and skilled population;
- The preventative and early intervention action taken to reduce the number of people entering statutory services;
- The Social Services and Wellbeing Act and its fundamental principle of promoting people's independence to give them stronger voice and control;
- The Public Services Board area based approach to partnership working.
- The Sustainable Development Principle, specifically in relation to involving people, collaboration and prevention.

**4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

As outlined within section 5.1 of the report a detailed consultation process with various stakeholders was undertaken.

**5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL**

None

**6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

None

**7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**  
N/A

**8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES  NO

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **29<sup>th</sup> September, 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:**

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

**8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

**21<sup>st</sup> September, 2017**  
(Dated)