



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 28th September 2017

Agenda Item: 5

**SUBJECT:
ONGOING REVIEW OF PLACEMENT AND ACCOMMODATION PROVISION
FOR CHILDREN WHO ARE LOOKED AFTER**

(Due to a change in the agenda running order, as agreed by the Chair, this matter was dealt as item 6 at the meeting)

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), M. Webber, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
G.Hopkins, M.Norris, R.Lewis & C.Leyshon.

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:
J.Rosser**

**Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-
J Davies, M Griffiths & E Webster**

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To note and endorse the content of the report in respect of the review of placement and accommodation provision for children who are looked after (as outlined within Appendix 1 of the report).
2. To support the recommendations set out in paragraph 5 of Appendix 1 to the report.
3. That Treherbert Children's Home is no longer utilised.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

The need to advise Cabinet Members of the external review commissioned in respect of the Council's placement and accommodation provision for children who are looked after.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

The provision of effective responses to the needs of children and young people is a key priority for the Council.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

None

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **6th October, 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

28th September, 2017
(Dated)