



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 28th September 2017

Agenda Item: 7

**SUBJECT:
REGULATION OF INVESTIGATORY POWERS ACT 2000 (RIPA)
USE OF RIPA IN 2016-17 BY
RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

(Due to a change in the agenda running order, as agreed by the Chair, this matter was dealt as item 8 at the meeting)

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), M. Webber, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
M.Norris, & R.Lewis.

**Apologies for Absence
County Borough Councillors:**
J.Rosser, G.Hopkins & C.Leyshon

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-
J Davies, M Griffiths & E Webster

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To note the contents of the report.
2. To acknowledge RIPA has been used in an appropriate manner that is consistent with the RIPA policies during the period 1st April 2016 – 31st March 2017.
3. To acknowledge that the current Corporate RIPA Policy and Corporate Policy on the Acquisition of Communications Data under RIPA are still appropriate, fit for purpose and should continue to be used.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

The need to ensure Members are kept appraised of how RIPA has been used during the period 1st April 2016 - 31st March 2017 and that it has been used in an appropriate manner consistent with the Council's RIPA policies.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

The report will ensure that effective governance arrangements with regards to RIPA remain in place by the Council.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

The report has been prepared in consultation with the Council's Trading Standards Manager who is responsible for operational oversight of RIPA matters.

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):
N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:
YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **6th October, 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

28th September, 2017
(Dated)