



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 28th September 2017

Agenda Item: 9

**SUBJECT: REVIEW OF MAINSTREAM SCHOOL
TRANSPORT PROVISION**

(Due to a change in the agenda running order, as agreed by the Chair, this matter was dealt as item 10 at the meeting)

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), M. Webber, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
M.Norris, & R.Lewis.

**Apologies for Absence
County Borough Councillors:**
J.Rosser, G.Hopkins & C.Leyshon

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-
M Griffiths & E Webster

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. That the mainstream school transport provision accords with the Council's Learner Travel Policy, Information and Arrangements, following consideration of the recent review undertaken.
2. To the withdrawal of mainstream school transport from those routes that were reviewed and identified in Section 5 and appendix A to the report as being available to be walked safely from the start of the 2018/19 academic year.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

The need to advise Members of the review undertaken to ensure that a consistent approach to entitlement to mainstream school transport is maintained across the County Borough in line with the Council's existing policy.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

Council's Corporate Plan - Promoting independence and positive lives for everyone.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

Consultation on the proposals was not required although in September 2015 the Council undertook a major consultation exercise on school transport.

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **6th October, 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

28th September, 2017
(Dated)