



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 19th December 2017

Agenda Item: 5

**SUBJECT: SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING GUIDANCE - HOUSES IN
MULTIPLE OCCUPATION**

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), M. Webber, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
G.Hopkins, M.Norris, J Rosser, R.Lewis & C.Leyshon.

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. The Draft Supplementary Planning Guidance ‘*Houses in Multiple Occupation HMOs*’ (as attached as Appendix 1 of the report), for the purposes of an 8 week consultation.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to undertake an 8 week public consultation on the Draft Supplementary Planning Guidance for Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs)

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

- Corporate Plan 2016-2020 –*Across People, Place and Economy*

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

Broad consultation has been undertaken in the preparation of the Draft, although formal consultation will need to be taken forward as outlined in section 7 of the report for an 8 week period.

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **29th December, 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

19th December, 2017
(Dated)