

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 22nd March 2018

Agenda Item: 10

SUBJECT: EDUCATION AND LIFELONG LEARNING DIRECTORATE -SUPPLEMENTARY CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2018/19

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, G.Hopkins, C.Leyshon, R.Lewis, M.Norris & J Rosser.

Cabinet Member Apology County Borough Councillor: M. Webber

Other County Borough Councillors In Attendance: A Chapman

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To approve the Education Capital Programme priority schemes for 2018/19 as outlined in Appendices 1-10 of the report and to approve scheme commencement.

1. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

The need to approve the schemes outlined within the report to enable the Council to continue to deliver the Council's long term school modernisation improvement programme supporting one of the Council's Corporate Plan Priorities: 'Economy – Building a strong economy'.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

This investment programme forms part of the Council's larger school modernisation and 21st Century Schools Programme and supports one of the Council's Corporate Plan Priorities 'Economy – Building a Strong Economy'

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

None

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL None

- 6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED: None
- 7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

8. (a)	IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: YES $$ NO
expiry be the	This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. 30th March, 2018 to enable it to subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny dure Rules.
(N.B This decision is capable of implementation from the 30 th March, 2018 although officers will note that the next working date is the 3 rd April, 2018)	
8. (b)	IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:
I. II.	COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):- Reason:
8. (c)	IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:
	N/A
	(Mayor) (Dated)



22nd March, 2018 (Dated)

(Proper Officer)