



**RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 22<sup>nd</sup> March 2018**

**Agenda Item: 8**

**SUBJECT:  
DATA PROTECTION POLICY**

**Cabinet Members Present  
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,  
G.Hopkins, C.Leyshon, R.Lewis, M.Norris & J Rosser.

**Cabinet Member Apology  
County Borough Councillor:**

M. Webber

**Other County Borough Councillors  
In Attendance:**

A Chapman

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

1. To receive assurances that appropriate arrangements are in place to monitor the delivery of the GDPR project between the date of this report and the date the Regulation comes into effect.
2. That following review of the DRAFT Data Protection Policy to approve the Policy.
3. That in accordance with the GDPR, the role of 'Data Protection Officer' be designated to an existing member of staff from within the Council's Information Management Service (as described within the DRAFT Data Protection Policy).

**1. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

To ensure that the Council complies with the requirements placed upon it by the General Data Protection Regulation.

**3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.**

As outlined within section 13 of the report, there is no direct link to meeting the Council's Priorities. However, the implications of the drive to Increase Digitisation and Agile Working across the Council will increase the focus on protecting personal data. The Data Protection Policy will underpin this requirement.

**4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

None

**5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL**

None

**6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

None

**7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

N/A

**8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES  NO

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **30<sup>th</sup> March, 2018** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

(N.B This decision is capable of implementation from the 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2018 although officers will note that the next working date is the 3<sup>rd</sup> April, 2018)

**8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:**

I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-

Reason:.....**N/A**.....

II. URGENT DECISION:-

Reason:.....**N/A**.....

**8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

22<sup>nd</sup> March, 2018  
(Dated)