



**RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Ynysangharad War Memorial Park Cabinet Committee**

**DATE DECISION MADE: 21<sup>st</sup> November, 2017**

***Agenda Item: 3***

**SUBJECT:  
TAFF VALE REDEVELOPMENT - YNYSANGHARAD WAR  
MEMORIAL PARK PROPOSED FOOTBRIDGE**

**Cabinet Members Present  
County Borough Councillors:**

R.Bevan(Chair), M.Webber, A.Crimmings

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

1. That following consideration of the design options for the new footbridge, option 1 be taken forward as the preferred design.
2. To endorse the next stage of detailed development work, including further site investigation work, as part of the scheme development.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

The need to advise the trustees of Ynysangharad War Memorial Park of the new footbridge being considered between the Taff Vale site and Ynysangharad War Memorial Park.

**3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.**

The development opportunity outlined in this report will make a positive contribution towards the Corporate Priorities “Economy – Building a Stronger Economy” and “A Prosperous Wales”.

**4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

- As outlined within section 8 of the report, a public exhibition in respect of the Taff Vale redevelopment was held in March 2017 and the Council's aspiration for a footbridge was discussed with visitors to the exhibition. Further consultation on the footbridge proposal will take place with key stakeholders over the coming months and as part of the Statutory Planning process when a planning application is submitted.

**5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL**

- None

**6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

- None

**7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**  
N/A

**8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES  NO

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **29th November, 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:**

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

**8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

21<sup>st</sup> November, 2017  
(Dated)