



PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

REPORT OF: DIRECTOR PROSPERITY AND DEVELOPMENT

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

Members are asked to determine the planning application outlined below:

APPLICATION NO: 20/0306/10 (GS)
APPLICANT: Mr R Williams
DEVELOPMENT: Two-storey side / front extension
LOCATION: 17 MANOR CHASE, BEDDAU, PONTYPRIDD, CF38
2JD
DATE REGISTERED: 24/03/2020
ELECTORAL DIVISION: Beddau

RECOMMENDATION: REFUSE

REASONS:

It is considered that the proposed extension, by virtue of its scale, massing and siting, would represent a visually incongruous form of development which would have a detrimental impact upon the character and appearance of the host dwelling and surrounding locality. The proposal is therefore contrary to the relevant policies of the Local Development Plan (AW5 and AW6) and Supplementary Planning Guidance: A Design Guide for Householder Development.

REASON APPLICATION REPORTED TO COMMITTEE

The application is reported to Committee by Councillor Yeo, in order to assess the potential impact of the proposed development upon the character and appearance of the locality and the amenity and privacy of surrounding residents.

APPLICATION DETAILS

The proposal relates to a two-storey side extension and ground floor garage conversion at the dwelling. The proposals would result in a structure 9.6 metres in total depth by 3.4 metres in width at the front elevation, reducing to 1.6 metres in width at the rear elevation, forming a side extension that is 'staggered' inwards towards the rear of the property given the shape of the plot. The staggered footprint of the extension would allow for an existing path to the rear of the site, along the northern boundary, to be maintained. The proposal would create a front projection of 2 metres at first floor level beyond the established first floor building line of the dwelling. The garage would be converted to provide a habitable room.

SITE APPRAISAL

The application site is located towards the end of the Manor Chase cul-de-sac, a modern residential estate within Beddau. The dwelling is situated to the north east of the highway, with the principal elevation sited accordingly, facing a south westerly direction. The site itself contains a two-storey detached dwellinghouse of fairly modern design, with surrounding properties also being similar in scale and appearance, predominantly detached, brick built dwellings set on a similar ground level and characterised by small feature variations.

The property benefits from an open front garden, accompanying vehicular hard standing and landscaping, as well as a private amenity area to the rear. The site is bounded to the north, south and east by the curtilages of other residential properties off Manor Chase and to the west by the highway.

PLANNING HISTORY

There are no recent applications on record associated with this site.

PUBLICITY

The application has been advertised by direct notification to 8 neighbouring properties.

No letters of objection or representation have been received.

CONSULTATION

None undertaken

POLICY CONTEXT

Rhondda Cynon Taf Local Development Plan

The application site lies within the settlement boundary for Beddau but is not allocated for any specific purpose.

Policy AW5 - sets out criteria for new development in relation to amenity and accessibility.

Policy AW6 - requires development to involve a high quality design and to make a positive contribution to place making, including landscaping.

Supplementary Planning Guidance

A Design Guide for Householder Development

National Guidance

In the determination of planning applications regard should also be given to the requirements of National Planning Policy which are not duplicated in the Local Development Plan, particularly where National Planning Policy provides a more up to date and comprehensive policy on certain topics.

Planning Policy Wales Edition 10 sets out the Welsh Government's current position on planning policy, which incorporates the objectives of the Wellbeing of Future Generations (Wales) Act in to planning.

It is considered that the current proposal fails to meet the seven wellbeing of future generation's goals and, as a result, the proposed development is also inconsistent with the five ways of working set out in the Act.

It is also considered that the proposed development is inconsistent with the key principles and requirements for placemaking as defined by Chapter 2 People and Places: Achieving Wellbeing through Placemaking of Planning Policy Wales; with the proposal also being contrary to the following insofar as they relate to the development proposed –

Chapter 3 (good design and better places, promoting healthier places, sustainable management of natural resources)

Other relevant policy guidance consulted:

PPW Technical Advice Note 12 - Design

REASONS FOR REACHING THE RECOMMENDATION

Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that, if regard is to be had to the development plan for the purposes of any determination to be made under the Planning Acts, the determination must be made in accordance with the plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Furthermore, applications that are not in accordance with relevant policies in the plan should not be allowed, unless material considerations justify the grant of planning permission.

Main Issues:

Principle of the proposed development

The application relates to an extension to an existing residential dwelling; this type of development could therefore be considered acceptable in principle. However, in this case and on balance, the works are considered to have an unacceptable impact upon the character and appearance of the host property and wider street scene. The reasons for this recommendation are set out in detail below.

Impact on the character and appearance of the area

It is noted that no. 20 Manor Chase has an existing two storey gable feature to the front of the property, this pre-existing structure appears to be an original feature. This particular property is located at the tail end of the cul-de-sac where it is not overly noticeable from many public vantage points. Properties within Manor Chase have been designed to respect the existing first floor property line, with many featuring attached side garages and subservient first floor side extensions or, more commonly, small dormer windows within the garage roof-scape. The applicant has been made aware of the concerns through pre-application advice and a suggestion in regards to amending the design to pull back the first floor aspect to the existing building line of the host dwelling; however, the applicant wishes to proceed with the application in its current form.

The Council's SPG on householder development stipulates that side extensions should be sufficiently set back from the front of the property and have a lower ridgeline, to give the impression of subservience to the main house. In this instance, the proposed extension extends outwards 2 metres past the front of the property. As such, the proposed development would dominate the existing property to such a degree as to make the host dwelling appear subservient to the extension when viewed from the highway within Manor Chase.

Subsequently, taking the above into account, it is considered the proposed extension would form a dominant and incongruous addition to the property and a highly prominent feature within the street scene, contrary to Policies AW5 and AW6 of the Local Development Plan.

Impact on residential amenity and privacy

Dwellings to the west, east and south of the site are either not located within a proximity to be impacted by the proposed extension or are shielded from the proposal by the bulk of the host dwelling. As such they would see no impact to their current levels of residential amenity.

It is noted that the adjacent dwelling of no.16 Manor Chase is within a proximity that could potentially be impacted through the proposal. But given that there are no side openings within the neighbouring property which feature direct views of the proposal, it is not considered the new extension would have any impact on the outlook from no. 16. It is noted that there is a conservatory to the rear of no.16 that services the dwelling from which some views of the proposal would be present. Whilst, through the application, the bulk of the host dwelling would move closer to the common boundary; it is not considered that views from the conservatory would differ greatly from current.

A first floor side facing window proposed within the new extension does raise some points of concern. But were the other concerns regarding the detrimental impact upon visual amenity overcome, it is considered that a condition that requires this window be

obscurely glazed and non-opening would ensure the privacy levels of neighbouring residents were maintained.

On balance, in terms of the impact on the amenity and privacy of neighbouring residents, the application is considered to be acceptable.

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Liability

The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) was introduced in Rhondda Cynon Taf from 31 December 2014.

The application is for development of a kind that is not CIL liable under the CIL Regulations 2010 (as amended).

Conclusion

It is considered the proposal would have a significant detrimental impact upon the character and appearance of the host dwelling and surrounding street scene. The application is therefore considered contrary to the relevant policies of the Rhondda Cynon Taf Local Development Plan (AW5 and AW6).

RECOMMENDATION: REFUSE

1. It is considered that the proposed extension, by virtue of its scale, massing and siting, would represent a visually incongruous form of development which would have a detrimental impact upon the character and appearance of the host dwelling and the surrounding locality. The proposal is therefore contrary to the relevant policies of the Local Development Plan (AW5 and AW6) and Supplementary Planning Guidance: A Design Guide for Householder Development.