



## RHONDDA CYNON TAF

### RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

#### RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

**DECISION MADE BY:** Cabinet    **DATE DECISION MADE:** 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2013

#### *Agenda Item 3*

#### **SUBJECT:**

Medium Term Service Planning – Current Budget Assumptions 2013/14 to 2017/18

#### **Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:**

A.Christopher (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, (Mrs.)A.Davies,  
M.Forey, (Mrs.)E.Hanagan, C.Middle, A.Morgan, and C.J.Willis

#### **Apology for Absence County Borough Councillor: M.Webber**

#### **In Attendance County Borough Councillors**

S.Bradwick, S.Carter, C.Davies, G.R.Davies, P.Jarman, M.J.Watts,  
E.Webster and T.Williams.

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

- To note the projected budget gap 2014/15 to 2017/18 for the Council.
- That reports be presented to Cabinet on service change options as soon as they become available.

**Noted:** With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor P.Jarman spoke on this item and indicated that her Group would welcome being consulted on any proposals that will be considered as a result of the budget gaps that will affect the residents of Rhondda Cynon Taf.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

- The need to provide Cabinet with the latest projection of the Revenue Budget position for the five year period 2013/14 to 2017/18, as part of the Council's Medium Term Service Planning arrangements.

**3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

- Report to Council – 26<sup>th</sup> June, 2013.

**4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

None

**5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

N/A

**6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES      NO ✓

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Friday, 2<sup>nd</sup> August, 2013** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:**

N/A

**6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)

  
.....  
(Proper Officer)

24<sup>th</sup> July, 2013

.....  
(Dated)

