



RHONDDA CYNON TAF

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 19th February, 2014

Agenda Item 7

SUBJECT:

Realignment of Learning Support Classes for Pupils with Special Educational Needs

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Christopher (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, (Mrs.)A.Davies,
M.Forey, (Mrs.)E.Hanagan, C.Middle, A.Morgan, M.Webber and
C.J.Willis

Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillors:

S.Bradwick, A.L.Davies, MBE, M.J.Powell,
R.W.Smith and T.Williams

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To note the responses received during the consultation as detailed in Appendix 2 to the report and summarised in paragraphs 4.1 – 4.6 of the report.
- To note the recommended amendment to the original proposal as outlined in paragraph 5.1 of the report, to retain Capcoch Primary Learning Support Class for Speech and Language Difficulties.
- To progress with all proposals as detailed in paragraph 5.1 of the report, to realign the Learning Support Classes in line with the next stage of the school organisation process by issuing appropriate statutory notices.

Note: With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor T. Williams spoke on this item and thanked the Director, Education and Lifelong Learning for the help and advice he had provided when she had passed on concerns on behalf of her constituents and stated that she welcomed the proposals as outlined in paragraph 5.1 of the report.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to advise of the outcome of the consultation undertaken in respect of the proposal to realign mainstream Learning Support Classes provision with Rhondda Cynon Taf.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Report to Cabinet – 21st October, 2013.
- Consultation exercise undertaken as shown at paragraphs 3 and 4 and feedback from stakeholders shown at Appendix 2 to the report.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Friday, 28th February, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



20th February, 2014

.....
(Proper Officer)

.....
(Dated)