



RHONDDA CYNON TAF

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 13th May, 2014

Agenda Item 1

SUBJECT:

Opportunities for Community Groups/Individuals – Request from Pontyclun Community Council to take Lease of the Former Pontyclun Day Centre

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

P.Cannon (Chairman), R.Bevan, (Mrs.)A.Davies, M.Forey,
(Mrs.)E.Hanagan, C.Middle, A.Morgan, M.Webber and C.J.Willis

Apology for Absence County Borough Councillor:

A.Christopher

Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillors:

C.Davies and G.Davies

Note: In the absence of the Chair, County Borough Councillor A.Christopher, the Vice-Chair, County Borough Councillor P.Cannon took the Chair.

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- The use of the subject premises (former Pontyclun Day Centre) by Pontyclun Community Council under a lease for five years, incorporating a tenant or landlord break clause after two years. Occupation of the premises to commence on 2nd June, 2014.
- That the rent is not collected and that a full rent concession is granted for the initial two years.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- In accordance with the framework as agreed by Cabinet at its meeting held on the 16th December, 2013 in which Community Groups and individuals could apply to use premises subject to closure, as a base for direct service provision. The framework was based upon the Council's existing policy for concessionary lettings, except that the programme was relaxed slightly.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Reports to Cabinet meetings on the 16th December, 2013 and 8th January, 2014.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Thursday, 22nd May, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

14th May, 2014

.....
(Dated)