



RHONDDA CYNON TAF

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 31st July, 2014

Agenda Item 3

SUBJECT:

Hawthorn Swimming Pool – Progress Report

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, E.Hanagan,
K.Montague and M.Webber

Apologies for Absence County Borough Councillors:

P.Cannon and G.Hopkins

Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillors:

S.Bradwick, W.J.David, (Mrs.)A.Davies, P.Jarman, (Mrs.)C.Leyshon,
S.Rees-Owen, G.Stacey, L.Walker and C.J.Williams.

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To note the progress on site with all Health and Safety requirements and the structural survey of the pool hall roof.
- To note that a report will be presented to Cabinet, in the very near future, with options as to the cost of bringing the facility back into use or not.

Note: With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor L.Walker spoke on this item and thanked the Officers for the work undertaken in progressing this matter and suggested that contact be made with the University of South Wales as they provide sports science studies and no access to a pool and they could therefore offer some financial assistance in maintaining Hawthorn Swimming Pool.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to provide Member with a position statement on the progress of works on site at Hawthorn Pool.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Report to Cabinet 8th January, 2014.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Wednesday, 13th August, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)

C. Doe

.....
(Proper Officer)

4th August, 2014

.....
(Dated)