



RHONDDA CYNON TAF

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 31st July, 2014

Agenda Item 5

SUBJECT:
Development

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, E.Hanagan,
K.Montague and M.Webber

Apologies for Absence County Borough Councillors:

P.Cannon and G.Hopkins

Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillors:

S.Bradwick, (Mrs.)A.Davies, P.Jarman and S.Rees-Owen

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To note the contents of the report.
- To endorse the progression of the plan to establish the Vale, Valleys & Cardiff Regional Adoption Collaborative.
- To authorise the development of the proposed service delivery model for the collaborative and that consultation be undertaken with staff and trade unions regarding the implementation of the model and the findings therefrom be provided in a further report to be presented to Cabinet.
- That the post of the Regional Adoption Manager for the service be established and recruited.

Note: With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor (Mrs.)A.Davies made comments on this matter which were duly responded to by the Service Director Commissioning, Business and Housing.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to inform and update Members of progress in the development of the Vale, Valleys & Cardiff Regional Adoption Collaborative (formerly referred to as the Mid & South Regional Adoption Collaborative).

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- As shown in paragraph 6 of the report.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Wednesday, 13th August, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

4th August, 2014

.....
(Dated)