



RHONDDA CYNON TAF

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 23rd September, 2014

Agenda Item 3

SUBJECT:

Hawthorn Swimming Pool – Progress Report

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
E.Hanagan, G.Hopkins, K.Montague and M.Webber

Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillors:

P.Jarman, M.Powell, L.Walker, M.Weaver and E.Webster

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To note the outcome of the structural survey of the pool hall roof.
- That appropriate Officers be instructed to initiate discussions with Hawthorn School immediately, on the possible transfer of the facility, potentially allowing both school and wider community use.
- That a further report be presented to Cabinet on the outcomes of the discussion with Hawthorn School and subject to this, finalise the preferred option in terms of repair or demolition of the facility.

Note: With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillors L.Walker and P.Jarman spoke on this item and both hoped that should the pool transfer to the school that it would not be for the exclusive use of the school and that the public would also be able to gain access.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- Following the meeting of Cabinet on the 31st July, 2014, the need to provide Members with a position statement and a list of options for consideration following the structural survey undertaken at Hawthorn Swimming Pool.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Report to Cabinet – 31st July, 2014.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Wednesday, 1st October, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



24th September, 2014

.....
(Proper Officer)

.....
(Dated)