



RHONDDA CYNON TAF

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 10th October, 2014

Agenda Item 3

SUBJECT:

Medium Term Service Planning – Service Change Proposals – Music Service

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan (Chairman), A.Crimmings, M.Forey, E.Hanagan,
G.Hopkins, K.Montague and M.Webber

Apologies for Absence County Borough Councillors:

R.Bevan and P.Cannon

Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillors:

S.Bradwick, M.Griffiths, P.Griffiths, I.Pearce, M.J.Powell, S.Rees-
Owen and M.Weaver

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To initiate consultation on the service change proposals in respect of the Music Service as outlined in paragraph 8 of the report.
- That a further report be presented to the Cabinet detailing the results and feedback from the consultation process (including the results of the Equality Impact Assessment undertaken) in order for Cabinet to determine whether and how it wishes to progress with the proposals.

Note: With the permission of the Chairman, Mrs.A.Griffiths (Member of the public and Chair of the Friends of RCT Music Service) spoke on this item and in response to the concerns raised, the Chair indicated that the Officers would meet with her during the consultation period.

County Borough Councillors S.Rees-Owen and M.Powell also spoke on this item and the comments made would form part of the consultation process.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need for Cabinet to consider the service change proposals in respect of the Music Service, as changes are required as part of the Council's Medium Term Service Planning arrangements, specifically in the context of the need to reduce spend and enable the Council to fulfil its statutory responsibility and set a balanced budget.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

N/A

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Monday, 20th October, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

10th October, 2014

.....
(Dated)