



## **RHONDDA CYNON TAF**

### **RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

#### **RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 30<sup>th</sup> October, 2014**

#### ***Agenda Item 14***

#### **SUBJECT:**

National Model for Regional School Improving Working in Wales Central South Education Consortium

#### **Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,  
E.Hanagan, G.Hopkins, K.Montague and M.Webber

#### **Apology for Absence County Borough Councillor:**

M.Forey

#### **Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillors:**

S.Bradwick and P.Wasley

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

- To note the update on the Joint Education Service as outlined in the report.
- That delegated authority be given to the Director, Education and Lifelong Learning, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Education and Lifelong Learning, Section 151 Officer and the Director of Legal and Democratic Services to:
  - Agree the terms of the proposed New Agreement and specific Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council annex to the business plan and conclude the same.
  - To deal with any further variations to the new agreement, once concluded, that may be proposed from time to time, provided that such variations are within budget and the overall principles of the joint education service.
  - That subject to the conclusion of the New Agreement the Leader (or his delegated nominee) be appointed as the Council's representative on the Central South Consortium Joint Education Committee

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

- The need to update Cabinet on the developments in relation to school improvement services provided by the Central South Education Consortium.

**3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

- City of Cardiff, Bridgend, Merthyr Tydfil, Cardiff and The Vale of Glamorgan County Borough Councils.

**4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

None

**5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

N/A

**6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES      NO ✓

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **Monday, 10<sup>th</sup> November, 2014** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:**

N/A

**6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

31<sup>st</sup> October, 2014

.....  
(Dated)