

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 20th November, 2014

Agenda Item 3

SUBJECT:

Establishment of a Cwm Taf Multi Agency Safeguarding Hub (MASH)

Cabinet Members Present County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey, E.Hanagan, G.Hopkins, K.Montague and M.Webber

Other Members in Attendance County Borough Councillors:

C.Davies and E.Webster

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed -

- To note the contents of the report and the progress made by all Agencies involved in the project.
- To approve work on the revised model for Safeguarding Adults via the MASH and authorise progression to the next stage of the creation of the service.
- That further reports be presented to the Cabinet, outlining the detailed arrangements for the operation of Children's Processes in the MASH and for the governance arrangements in respect of the adult and children's delivery models.
- To approve the move of the Emergency Duty Team to Pontypridd Police Station.
- To approve the re-location of the Multi Agency Risk Assessment (MARAC) Coordination to the MASH.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

 The need to update Cabinet on progress in developing a Cwm Taf Multi Agency Safeguarding Hub (MASH).

CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

• Report to Cabinet – 28th October, 2013.

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL- IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:				
		YES	NO √	
Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. Wednesday , 3 rd December , 2014 to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.				
6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT: N/A				
6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:				
		N/A		
		(Mayor)		(Dated)
				,

(Proper Officer)

24th November, 2014 **(Dated)**