



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 22nd January, 2015.

Agenda Item 4

SUBJECT:

Collection of Dry Recyclables and the Impact of the Waste (England and Wales)
(amended) Regulations 2012.

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
E.Hanagan, G.Hopkins, K Montague and M.Webber

**Other Members in Attendance
County Borough Councillors:**

S.Bradwick, R Lewis, P Griffiths, P Jarman, S Rees-Owen and T
Williams.

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- That based on information currently available to council officers it is determined that the Council's existing method of collecting dry recyclables is compliant with the 2012 Regulations. In this respect the Council's existing scheme of collecting dry recyclables together can continue unaltered following implementation of the regulations, albeit subject to periodic review.
- To instruct Council officers to continue to work with Welsh Government to gather further evidence in relation to compliance with the Regulations and to undertake modelling of other collection methodologies that could be employed as a potential alternative.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- To allow the Council to make a documented decision on the continuation of the current method of collecting dry recyclables following the duties imposed on waste collection authorities from the 1st January, 2015, within the Waste (England and Wales) (amended) Regulations 2012 .

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

N/A

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None.

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES **NO** ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **30th January, 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

. (b) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

22.01.15.
(Dated)