



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 19th March, 2015.

Agenda Item 4

SUBJECT:
Housing (Wales) Act 2014

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), P.Cannon, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
E.Hanagan, G.Hopkins, and M.Webber

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**
K. Montague

**Other Members in Attendance
County Borough Councillors:**
P. Jarman and L. Walker

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To note the key elements of the Housing (Wales) Act 2014 in the context of its implications for service delivery and any changes that may be required to policy following commencement of the various parts of the Act, as they relate to Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council.
- To note that Rhondda Cynon Taf does not exclude any of the groups named under section 4.3.3 of the report, but rather continues to apply the ‘intentionality test’ to all of them and publishes its intent to do so in accordance with Welsh Government direction.
- To note that a further report to Members may be required once the implications of Part 6 of the Act (Council Tax for certain types of dwellings) are fully understood.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to provide Cabinet Members with an update on the key elements of the Housing (Wales) Act 2014, which received Royal Assent on the 17th September, 2014, and its implications for the Council.
- To approve application of the ‘test of intentionality’ as outlined within section 4.3 of the report.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- N/A

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **27th March 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

19.03.15
(Dated)