



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 24th September, 2015.

Agenda Item 9

SUBJECT:
Individual Disabled Parking Bays

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
E. Hanagan, G Hopkins K. Montague, J Rosser and M.Webber

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

- To note the outcomes of the review of Individual Disabled Parking Bays Scheme as outlined in the report.
- To reinstate the Individual Disabled Parking Bays Scheme from 1st October, 2015
- Revise the eligibility criteria for the Individual Disabled Parking Bays Scheme as outlined in paragraph 5.3 of the report.
- To continue to operate the Individual Disabled Parking Bays Scheme on an annual basis
- To allocate 12 new disabled parking bays per annum funded by the Highways Traffic management capital budget.
- To continue to provide enforceable parking bays through a traffic regulation order
- To introduce a charge of £10 per application for disabled parking bays.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to advise Members of the work undertaken to review the current policy and process for dealing with disabled parking bays and for Members to make recommendations regarding future provision.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- N/A

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **2nd October 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

24.09.15
(Dated)