



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 10th November, 2015.

Agenda Item 7

SUBJECT:
The Future of the Joint Supplies Service

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
E. Hanagan, K. Montague, J. Rosser & M.Webber

**Apologies for attendance
County Borough Councillors:**

M Forey & G Hopkins

Other County Borough Councillor(s)

In Attendance:-

P Jarman

1. DECISION MADE:

Following consideration of the report of the Group Director, Corporate & Frontline Services containing exempt information as defined in paragraph 14 of Part 4 of schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, (as amended) namely information which relates to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority that holds that information), it was **Agreed –**

- To note the contents of the report
- To give notice in writing to Bridgend, Merthyr Tydfil and Caerphilly County Borough Councils of this Council's intended withdrawal from the Joint Supplies Joint Committee by giving 12 months notice in accordance with the constitution
- To instruct the Group Director, Corporate & Frontline Services to put in place alternative contracting arrangements and to notify schools and customers accordingly.

N.B With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor P Jarman spoke on this item.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to provide Cabinet with the current position of the Joint Supplies Service at Waterton, Bridgend and to take a decision in respect of the Councils future participation in the collaborative agreement.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- None

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **18th November 2015** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

10.11.15
(Dated)