



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 18th February, 2016

Agenda Item - 4

SUBJECT:

Social Services and Wellbeing Act: Implementation Programme
Joint Commissioning Statement For Older People.

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, G. Hopkins
K. Montague, J. Rosser & M.Webber

**Apologies for Absence
County Borough Councillors:**

M.Forey & E. Hanagan

**Other County Borough Councillor(s)
in Attendance:-**

R Smith

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To note the views received during the public engagement events.
2. To note the Equality Impact Assessment attached to the report.
3. To endorse the Joint Commissioning Statement for Older People's Services 2015-2025 and the proposed next steps outlined within Part 4 of the report.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to appraise Cabinet on the development of the Joint Commissioning Statement for older People's Services.
- The need for formal endorsement from RCT Council, as a key partner, in respect of the statement and supporting documents.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- As outlined within Appendix 1 of the report, a consultation was undertaken between the 27th July and 28th September 2015

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **26th February 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

18.02.16
(Dated)