



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 19th April, 2016

Agenda Item 5

SUBJECT:

Opportunities To Improve Housing Stock and Support A
Functional Housing Market in Treforest.

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, M.Forey, G. Hopkins, J. Rosser
& M Webber.

**Apologies for Absence
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Crimmings, E. Hanagan & K. Montague

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To approve the implementation of a Voluntary Property Accreditation Scheme in Treforest in 2016/17.
2. To approve the appointment of an additional 2.85 FTE officers in the Housing Strategy and Standards Team for a period of two years from 2016/17 in order to increase capacity to undertake more focused and proactive housing enforcement activity in Treforest.
3. That officer's investigate funding opportunities for a Group Repair Scheme for the Broadway in order to improve the visual amenity of one of the main access roads into Pontypridd.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to provide Cabinet with an overview of the current housing issues in Treforest.
- The need for the Council to intervene in the housing market in this area to ensure that it is sustainable, meetings the needs of all residents and the condition of the housing stock is of a good standard overall
- The implementation of the scheme will make a significant contribution to the Council's strategic priority of regenerating town centres and improving communities.

3. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- N/A

4. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

5. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):-

- None

6. (a) IS THE DECISION URGENT AND NOT TO BE THE SUBJECT OF ANY CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO ✓

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **27th April 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

6. (b) IF YES, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS URGENT:

N/A

6. (c) SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

19.04.16
(Dated)