



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 19th July, 2016

Agenda Item : 9

SUBJECT: Scrutiny Recommendations

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
& G. Hopkins.

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

E Hanagan, M Norris, J Rosser & M Webber

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

C Davies, T Leyshon & J Ward

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To note the work of the Scrutiny Committees, as outlined in Appendix 1 and 2 of the report
2. In principle to each of the recommendations contained within section 4.3 of the report, subject to further consideration by the Cabinet Portfolio holders, following which a detailed response will be provided to the Public Service Delivery, Communities & Prosperity Scrutiny Committee.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need for Cabinet Members to consider the work of the Public Service Delivery, Communities & Prosperity Scrutiny Committee, working groups in respect of participation rates in Recycling and Number of Bids & Tenders submitted by Local Business for Council Contracts.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

The Scrutiny reports and recommendations link to the Council's Corporate Priorities and SIP:

- Keeping RCT Clean & Green
- Economy and People

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Consultation was undertaken by the two Public Service Delivery, Communities & Prosperity Scrutiny working groups, as outlined within each of their reports.

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

- Public Service Delivery, Communities & Prosperity Scrutiny Committee – 4th July, 2016

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):
N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. Implementation date of the **27th July, 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

19th July, 2016
(Dated)