



**RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 8<sup>th</sup> September, 2016**

***Agenda Item : 5***

**SUBJECT:** Landslip at A4233 Maerdy Mountain Road, Aberdare

**Cabinet Members Present  
County Borough Councillors:**

M.Webber (Chair), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,  
G.Hopkins, E.Hanagan, J.Rosser, & M.Norris.

**Apology for Absence  
County Borough Councillor:  
A. Morgan**

**Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-  
C Davies, E Webster**

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

1. To note the proposal to stabilise the affected carriageway by constructing an anchored reinforced concrete edge beam and safety barrier along the outer edge of the carriageway
2. To note the ongoing investigatory work to identify an appropriate drainage solution
3. To consider the potential to utilise any necessary road closures to implement a comprehensive scheme of improvements along the A4233 Maerdy Mountain Road between the Rhondda Fach and Cynon Valley
4. To note the requirement for a further grant application to support the cost of the work and that the 2017/18 Capital Programme will include for Members consideration, further requirements for the scheme

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

- The need to update the Cabinet on the current situation with regard to the Landslip on the A4233 Maerdy Mountain Road

**3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.**

- Building a Strong Economy
- Improving our Communities

**4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

- NA

**5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL**

- NA

**6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

- None

**7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**  
N/A

**8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES  NO

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **16<sup>th</sup> September, 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:**

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

**8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

**8<sup>th</sup> September, 2016**  
(Dated)