



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 8th September, 2016

Agenda Item : 9

SUBJECT: Social Services and Wellbeing Act: Implementation Programme
Cwm Taf At Home: Integrated Assessment and Response Service

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

M.Webber (Chair), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
G.Hopkins, E.Hanagan, J.Rosser, & M.Norris.

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

A. Morgan

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

1. DECISION MADE:

Following consideration of the report of the Group Director, Community & Children's Services containing exempt information as defined in Paragraph 14 of Part 4 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended) namely, information relating to the financial affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information), it was **Agreed** –

1. To accept the business case in principle and authorise officers to proceed with planning for the development of the service
2. To receive a further report detailing the formal Governance and Financial arrangements for the service

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to provide Cabinet the Business Case for developing the Cwm Taf @home Integrated Assessment and Response Service (IARS) and seek approval to begin implementation

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

- Redesigned Local Services
- Better Coordination

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Considerable engagement was undertaken in 2015 to inform the development of the Joint Commissioning Statement for Older People's Services.

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

- NA

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):
N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **16th September, 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

8th September, 2016
(Dated)