



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 22nd September 2016

Agenda Item : 6

SUBJECT: Social Services and Wellbeing Act: Implementation Programme
Cwm Taf Integrated Family Support Team

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

M.Webber (Chair), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,
G. Hopkins, E. Hanagan,
J.Rosser & M.Norris.

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

A.Morgan

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

L.Walker, E.Webster, P.Wasley

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To endorse the detailed Governance arrangements for the Cwm Taf IFST

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to present to Cabinet the proposed legal agreement and financial schedule to govern the regional arrangements for the Cwm Taf Integrated Family Support Service.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

- Better coordination
- Redesigned local services

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- Managers responsible for the IFST and Senior officers from RCT, Merthyr and Cwm Taf were consulted during the drafting of the agreement

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

- None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):
N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **30th September, 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

22nd September, 2016
(Dated)