



**RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 2<sup>nd</sup> November, 2016**

***Agenda Item : 7***

**SUBJECT: WALES AUDIT OFFICE – LOCAL AUTHORITY  
ARRANGEMENTS TO SUPPORT SAFEGUARDING CHILDREN FOLLOW UP**

**Cabinet Members Present  
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings, M.Forey,  
G. Hopkins, M Norris, E Hanagan, M Webber, J.Rosser

**Apology for Absence  
County Borough Councillor:**

**Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-**

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

- To consider the content of the WAO report '*Local Authority arrangements to Support Safeguarding of Children follow up*'
- To review and challenge the Council's progress to date against the planned actions as set out in the Corporate Safeguarding Delivery Plan endorsed by Cabinet in March 2016.
- To refer the WAO report and associated Delivery Plan to Audit Committee to further challenge the overall governance arrangements in place.
- To refer the WAO report and associated Delivery Plan to the Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

- The need to update Cabinet on the outcome of the follow-up visit undertaken by the Wales Audit Office ("WAO") in respect of the Local Authority's Arrangements to Support Safeguarding of Children, together with a progress report in respect of actions taken to address overall recommendations in relation to our Corporate Safeguarding responsibilities.

**3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.**

- Council's Corporate Plan.
- Single Integrated Plan.
- Future Generation Act.

**4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

- None

**5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL**

- Cabinet on 17<sup>th</sup> March 2016
- Children and Young People's Scrutiny Committee on 21<sup>st</sup> March 2016
- Corporate Parenting Board on the 11<sup>th</sup> April 2016.

**6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

- None

**7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**  
N/A

**8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES  NO

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **10<sup>th</sup> November, 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:**

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

**8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

2<sup>ND</sup> November, 2016  
(Dated)