



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 24th November, 2016

Agenda Item : 4

SUBJECT: COUNCIL CORPORATE PLAN – INVESTMENT PRIORITIES

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
G. Hopkins, M Norris, E Hanagan, M Webber,
M.Forey, J.Rosser

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To review and propose the attached treatment of current year underspends and Treasury Management Earmarked Reserve resources and resultant Investment Priorities to Council at its meeting on the 30th November 2016.
2. To receive a further update on wider Earmarked Reserves as part of the normal budget strategy work being undertaken in preparation for 2017/18.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to provide Members with an update on the position with regard to one-off resources which have become available following the completion of the audit process of the Council's 2015/16 Statement of Accounts, with regard specifically to the amended Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy which was agreed by Council on the 23rd March 2016.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

- Council's Corporate Plan

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- None

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

- None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):
N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason: The report will be presented to Council on 30th November, 2016 for formal approval.

- II. URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....N/A.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

24th November, 2016
(Dated)