



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 24th November, 2016

Agenda Item : 5

SUBJECT: HIGHWAYS STRUCTURES

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
G. Hopkins, M Norris, E Hanagan, M Webber,
M.Forey, J.Rosser

**Apology for Absence
County Borough Councillor:**

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To note the current investment commitment to support improvements to the existing highway structures stock
2. To implement a more risk based approach to inspection and monitoring to ensure that resources are prioritised effectively
3. That an additional revenue budget allocation is considered for 2017/18 and is built into the budget strategy process supporting the enhanced inspection processes as described.
4. To note that any requirements for additional capital resources arising from the inspection work will be part of future capital programme strategy considerations for Members.
5. To note (subject to Council approval) the proposals to allocate a further £3.5M to Highways structures in 2016/17.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

- The need to update Members on current and proposed inspection arrangements for Highways Structures and to seek approval for additional resources to continue to improve the condition of our assets.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

- The Council's Corporate Priorities "Building a Strong Economy" and "Improving our Communities".
- The Council's Corporate Plan commitment to invest in highways infrastructure and to improve the transport network.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- None

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

- None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

- None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):
N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **2nd December, 2016** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

24th November, 2016
(Dated)