



**RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 9<sup>th</sup> March, 2017**

***Agenda Item : 12***

**SUBJECT: SOCIAL SERVICES AND WELLBEING ACT: IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMME FOR CWM TAF STAY WELL @ HOME SERVICE (FORMERLY KNOWN AS THE INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT AND RESPONSE SERVICE)**

**Cabinet Members Present  
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan(Chairman) M Webber, R.Bevan,  
A.Crimmings, M.Forey, G. Hopkins,  
E Hanagan, J.Rosser

**Apology for Absence  
County Borough Councillor:**

M Norris

**Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-**

P.Jarman, C.Leyshon  
R.Turner, J.Ward, J.Watts

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

1. To endorse the detailed Governance arrangements for the Cwm Taf Stay Well @home Service as set out in the Legal Agreement for Rhondda Cynon Taf Council, Merthyr Tydfil Council and Cwm Taf University Health Board as attached as Appendix 1 of the report.
2. To note there are some minor amendments required following late submission of comments by the UHB and delegate responsibility for making any necessary and mutually agreed changes to the Statutory Director of Social Services and relevant Cabinet Member.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

The need to present to Cabinet the proposed legal agreement and financial schedule for the Cwm Taf Stay Well @home Service (formerly known as the Integrated Assessment and Response Service) to govern the regional arrangements for the service

The need to seek approval that any non-material changes required following late submission of comments by the UHB be delegated to the Statutory Director of Social Services and relevant Cabinet Member.

**3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.**

- Better coordination- joining up services and activities across partner organisations.
- Redesigned local services – integrated and efficient

**4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

Considerable engagement was undertaken in 2015 to inform the development of the Joint Commissioning Statement for Older People's Services.

**5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL**

- None

**6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

- None

**7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

N/A

**8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES  NO

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **17<sup>th</sup> March, 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:**

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

**8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'A. S. Jeyaraj', written in a cursive style.

.....  
**(Proper Officer)**

**9<sup>th</sup> March 2017**  
**(Dated)**