



**RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 9<sup>th</sup> March, 2017**

*Agenda Item : 5*

**SUBJECT: SCRUTINY RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Cabinet Members Present  
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan(Chairman) M Webber, R.Bevan,  
A.Crimmings, M.Forey, G. Hopkins,  
E Hanagan, J.Rosser

**Apology for Absence  
County Borough Councillor:**

M Norris

**Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-**

P.Jarman, C.Leyshon  
R.Turner, J.Ward, J.Watts

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

1. Note the work of the scrutiny Committees, as outlined in Appendix 1 and 2 of this report.
2. Each of the recommendations contained within section 2.3 and 2.4 of the report, subject to further consideration by the Cabinet Portfolio holders for the area, following which a detailed response is provided to the relevant Scrutiny Committees.

**N.B:** With the agreement of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor P Jarman spoke on this item.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

The need to provide Members with the findings and recommendations of the Council's two Scrutiny Working Groups that conducted scrutiny reviews in respect of issues in relation to the Council's Mobile Library Service and Maesyffynnon Residential Care Home, Aberaman.

**3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.**

The Scrutiny report and recommendations link to the Council's Corporate Plan priorities of Prosperity: Improving our communities and Health: Supporting vulnerable adults and older people to live independently.

**4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

As outlined in section 5 of the report, consultation was conducted through the reviews carried out by the Public Service Delivery, Communities & Prosperity Scrutiny Committee and the Health and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee.

**5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL**

- 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 - Public Service Delivery, Communities & Prosperity Scrutiny Committee
- 6<sup>TH</sup> October, 2016 – Cabinet

**6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

- None

**7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

N/A

**8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES  NO

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **17<sup>th</sup> March, 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:**

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

**8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

9<sup>th</sup> March 2017  
(Dated)