



**RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 9<sup>th</sup> March, 2017**

***Agenda Item : 8***

**SUBJECT: REDEVELOPMENT OF THE FORMER TAFF VALE PRECINCT**

**Cabinet Members Present  
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan(Chairman) M Webber, R.Bevan,  
A.Crimmings, M.Forey, G. Hopkins,  
E Hanagan, J.Rosser

**Apology for Absence  
County Borough Councillor:**

M Norris

**Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-**

P.Jarman, C.Leyshon  
R.Turner, J.Ward, J.Watts

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

1. To note the contents of the report and approve the work completed to date.
2. That officers prepare a programme of interventions and explore funding opportunities to present to Cabinet in due course.
3. That officers share this work regionally and work to develop wider initiatives.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

The need to update Members on the considerable progress which has been made on the redevelopment of the Taff Vale site.

**3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.**

The development opportunities outlined in the report will make a positive contribution towards the Corporate Priorities “Economy – Building a stronger economy” and “A prosperous Wales”.

**4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

Consultation has been undertaken about the potential uses for the site, and further consultation on the proposed Taff Vale site redevelopment has been completed as part of the Statutory Planning process.

**5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL**

- None

**6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

- None

**7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

N/A

**8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES  NO

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **17<sup>th</sup> March, 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:**

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

**8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

**9<sup>th</sup> March 2017**  
(Dated)