



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 28th September 2017

Agenda Item: 11

**SUBJECT:
LEISURE CENTRES AND SWIMMING POOLS
INVESTMENT AND LEISURE FOR LIFE 2018-2020**

(Due to a change in the agenda running order, as agreed by the Chair, this matter was dealt as item 12 at the meeting)

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), M. Webber, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
M.Norris, & R.Lewis.

**Apologies for Absence
County Borough Councillors:**
J.Rosser, G.Hopkins & C.Leyshon

Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-
E Webster

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To note the progress that has been made following the priority investment programme.
2. To the revised pricing structure for 'Leisure for Life' memberships as set out in Appendix 1 of the report, with effect from 1st January 2018.
3. To an increase of 2.5% on all other Leisure Fees and Charges with effect from 1st of January 2018.
4. To support establishing a priority investment programme for improving the changing facilities at key centres as laid out in Appendix 2 of the report, with funding to be identified as part of a forthcoming report on wider investment opportunities.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

The need to advise Members of the targeted investment into fitness facilities which has led to significant and sustainable growth in Leisure for Life Membership and to review current membership fees and investment opportunities.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

The Council's Corporate Plan; Wellbeing & Future Generations Act.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

None

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **6th October, 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

28th September, 2017
(Dated)