



**RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 28<sup>th</sup> September 2017**

***Agenda Item: 8***

**SUBJECT: PARK & RIDE CAPACITY REVIEW**

(Due to a change in the agenda running order, as agreed by the Chair, this matter was dealt as item 9 at the meeting)

**Cabinet Members Present  
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), M. Webber, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,  
M.Norris, & R.Lewis.

**Apologies for Absence  
County Borough Councillors:**  
J.Rosser, G.Hopkins & C.Leyshon

**Other Councillor(s) in Attendance:-**  
M Griffiths & E Webster

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

1. To note the matrix of potential Park and Ride schemes shown within appendix 1 of the report.
2. To support the schemes highlighted for progress within the report, which can be accommodated within existing resources.
3. To establish a Capital Programme of Park and Ride Schemes for development and delivery.

**N.B** With the permission of the Chairman, County Borough Councillor M Griffiths spoke on this item.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

The need to advise Members of the opportunities to develop and invest in the delivery of park and ride enhancements which would provide transportation and environmental benefits.

**3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.**

- Council's Integrated Plan – Health & Prosperity; The Corporate Plan.
- The proposals are also in line with the objectives set out in the Well-Being of Future Generation (Wales) Act 2015

**4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

None

**5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL**

None

**6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

None

**7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**  
N/A

**8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

YES  NO

**Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **6<sup>th</sup> October, 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

**8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:**

- I. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....
- II. URGENT DECISION:-  
Reason:.....**N/A**.....

**8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
(Mayor)

.....  
(Dated)



.....  
(Proper Officer)

**28<sup>th</sup> September, 2017**  
(Dated)