RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet   DATE DECISION MADE: 21ST November 2017

*Agenda Item: 3*

SUBJECT: COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY ('CIL')

Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:

A.Morgan (Chairman), M. Webber, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
1. **DECISION MADE:**

   Agreed –


   2. To the amended Regulation 123 List (as referenced within Appendix B of the report) for publication on the Council web-site for a period of 28 days and consultation as set out in paragraph 5.10 of the report, namely notification of the consultation to all elected Members, Town and Community Councils and to the Members of the Council’s Developers Forum.

2. **REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

   To enable the Council to continue to operate a Community Infrastructure Levy in accordance with the statutory regulations

3. **LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.**

   Infrastructure funded through CIL will support the ‘Building a Strong Economy’ and ‘Creating Neighbourhoods Where People are Proud to Live and Work’ priorities in the Corporate Plan.

4. **CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

   The report has been considered by the Finance & Performance Scrutiny Committee and following the Cabinet decision, further notification of the consultation will be undertaken with Elected Members, Town and Community Councils and to the Members of the Council’s Developers.

5. **PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL**

   - Cabinet – 8th September 2016.
   - Finance and Performance Scrutiny Committee – 4th October 2017

6. **PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

   None
7. **DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**
   N/A

8. (a) **IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

   **YES ✓ NO**

   **Note:** This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **29th November, 2017** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) **IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:**

   I. **COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):**
   - Reason: .................. N/A .................................................................

   II. **URGENT DECISION:**
   - Reason: .................. N/A .................................................................

8. (c) **IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

   N/A
   ........................................................................................................
   (Mayor) (Dated)

   ........................................................................................................
   (Proper Officer) (Dated)