



**RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

**RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

**DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet    DATE DECISION MADE: 25<sup>th</sup> January 2018**

**Agenda Item: 3**

**SUBJECT:  
INCREASING RECYCLING PERFORMANCE - PRE SCRUTINY  
ARRANGEMENTS.**

**Cabinet Members Present  
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), M. Webber, R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,  
G.Hopkins, M.Norris, J Rosser, R.Lewis & C.Leyshon.

**Other County Borough Councillors  
In Attendance:  
S Bradwick**

**1. DECISION MADE:**

**Agreed –**

1. To note the arrangements in place in respect of Pre-scrutiny of the 'Increasing Recycling Performance' report (as attached at Appendix 1).
2. To consider the above mentioned report at a future meeting following pre scrutiny by the Public Service Delivery, Communities & Prosperity Scrutiny Committee.

**Note:** With the permission of the Chair, County Borough Councillor S Bradwick spoke on this item.

**2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:**

The need for Members to be aware of the work to be undertaken by scrutiny to assist the Cabinet in this area

**3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.**

Making Best Use of Our Budget – Pre scrutiny can improve cost effectiveness.

**4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:**

None

**5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL**

None

**6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:**

None

**7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):**

N/A

**8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:**

NO ✓

**8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:**

**I. COUNCIL / SCRUTINY FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-**

*Reason: The report 'Increasing Recycling Performance' is to be considered by the Public Service, Communities and Prosperity Scrutiny Committee on the 25<sup>th</sup> January, 2018, before being presented back to cabinet for consideration.*

**8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:**

N/A

.....  
**(Mayor)**

.....  
**(Dated)**



.....  
**(Proper Officer)**

**25<sup>th</sup> January, 2018**  
**(Dated)**